







UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vignia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	PPLICATION NO. FILING DATE 09/611,320 07/06/2000		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR Richard J. Collins	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/611,320				16762-85-US01	
26853	7590	07/02/2003			
COVINGT			EXAMINER		
	SYLVAN	IA AVENUE, N.W.	FRIDIE JR, WILLMON		
WASHINGT	ON, DC	20004-2401		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
				3722	2
				DATE MAILED: 07/02/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



ےمح

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/611,320

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Willmon Fridie

Art Unit **3722**

Collins et al.



	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover s	sheet with	the correspondence address		
Period 1	for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.						
	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In a date of this communication.	no event, however,	, may a reply b	be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p - If NO p - Failure - Any re	period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the platent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	and will expire SIX (ne application to be	(6) MONTHS fi come ABANDO	rom the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 19, 2	<u>2002</u>		<u> </u>		
2a) 🗌	This action is FINAL . 2b) 💢 This act	ion is non-fin	al.			
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance eclosed in accordance with the practice under Ex pair					
Disposi	tion of Claims					
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>24-33</u>			is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)			is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) <u>24-33</u>			is/are rejected.		
7) 🗆	Claim(s)			is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	a	re subject	to restriction and/or election requirement.		
	ition Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) accep	ted or b)[\square objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be t	neld in abe	yance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	i	is: a)□ a	approved b) \square disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	to this Office a	action.			
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.				
Priority	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	ri <mark>ority under (</mark>	35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) [☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receiv	/ed.			
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents hav	e been receiv	ed in App	olication No		
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority de application from the International Burea	au (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	•		
*S	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	e certified co	pies not re	eceived.		
14) 🗆	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unde	r 35 U.S.(C. § 119(e).		
a) [The state of the foreign anguage profiteions					
15)∟	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic	priority unde	r 35 U.S.0	C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		
Attachm	• •					
,	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)			0-413) Paper No(s)		
_	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	_	nformal Patent	t Application (PTO-152)		
3) ∐ Inf	formation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	6) U Other:				

Application/Control Number: 09/611320

Art Unit:

DETAILED ACTION

1. The finality of the last office action is withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 24,25 and 27 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO ('510).

WO ('510) discloses all of the subject matter as set forth in the claims and is identical to the invention as broadly recited. Some of the claimed elements clearly disclosed by the reference are: a tread (14) having a lip and crater; and a magnetic stripe.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

5. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 6. Claims 26 and 29-33 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO ('510).

In regard to claims 26, it would have been an obvious matter of design choice to form the stripe in the claimed dimensions, since such a modification would have involved a mere change in the size of a component. A change in size is generally recognized as being within the level of ordinary skill in the art. *In re Rose*, 105 USPQ 237 (CPA 1955).

In regard to claims 29,32 and 33, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to locate the treads in the claimed locations, since it has been held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

In regard 30 and 31, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to form the craters in the claimed arrangement, since it has been

Application/Control Number: 09/611320

Art Unit:

held that rearranging parts of an invention involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Japikse*, 86 USPQ 70.

3. Claims 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO ('510) as applied to claims 26 and 29-33 above, and further in view of McNeely.

WO ('510) discloses the claimed invention except for an embedded computer chip.

McNeely teaches that it is well known in the art to use an embedded computer chip in a card assembly. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide WO ('510) with an embedded computer chip in the manner as taught by McNeely in order to increase the information storage capacity of the assembly.

Response to Arguments

7. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3700 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group...Official- (703)872-9302...After Final-(703) 872 9303. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3700 will be promptly forward to the examiner.

Application/Control Number: 09/611320

Art Unit:

Any inquiries concerning issues other than the substantive content of this and previous

communications, such as missing references or filed papers not acknowledged, should be directed

to the Customer Service Representative, Tech Center 3700, (703) 306-5648.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the Tech Center receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to W. Fridie, Jr. whose telephone number is (703) 308-1866

wf

June 30, 2003

Page 5

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700